Clinical dosing regimen of selinexor maintains normal immune homeostasis and T cell effector function in mice: implications for combination with immunotherapy
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Abstract

Selinexor (KPT-330) is a first in class nuclear transport inhibitor currently in clinical trials as an anti-cancer agent. To determine how selinexor might impact anti-tumor immunity, we analyzed immune homeostasis in mice treated with selinexor and found disruptions in T cell development, a progressive loss of CD8 T cells and increases in inflammatory monocytes. Antibody production in response to immunization was mostly normal. Precursor populations in bone marrow and thymus were unaffected by selinexor, suggesting that normal immune homeostasis could recover. We found that a high dose of selinexor given once per week preserved nearly normal immune functioning, whereas a lower dose given 3 times per week did not restore immune homeostasis. Both naïve and effector CD8 T cells cultured in vitro showed impaired activation in the presence of selinexor. These experiments suggest that nuclear exportins are required for T cell development and function. We determined the minimum concentration of selinexor required to block T cell activation, and showed that T cell inhibitory effects of selinexor occur at levels above 100nM, corresponding to the first 24 hours post-oral dosing. In a model of implantable melanoma, selinexor treatment at 10 mg/kg with a 5 day drug holiday led to intratumoral IFNγ+, granzyme B+ cytotoxic CD8 T cells that were comparable to vehicle treated mice. Overall, selinexor treatment leads to transient inhibition of T cell activation but clinically relevant once and twice weekly dosing schedules that incorporate sufficient drug holidays allow for normal CD8 T cell functioning and development of anti-tumor immunity.
Introduction

Tumor suppressor proteins such as p53 play a key role in genome integrity, and as such are frequently mutated in many types of malignancies (1). However, many cancers effectively inactivate p53 and other tumor suppressors by upregulation of the exportin XPO1 which shuttles p53 out of the nucleus (2). XPO1 belongs to a family of proteins called karyopherins which regulate nuclear transport (3). Importins bind to nuclear localization sequences (NLS) on cargo proteins, translocate to the nucleus where binding of Ran-GTP allows for dissociation of the cargo and recycling of importin to the cytosol. Conversely, exportins such as XPO1 bind Ran-GTP and nuclear export sequences (NES) on cargo proteins inside the nucleus and are transported out of the nucleus (3-5). XPO1 facilitates transport of approximately 200 cargo proteins, including the tumor suppressor proteins p53, BRCA, IxB, p21, and FOXO (6). XPO1 also shuttles eukaryotic initiation factor 4e (eIF4e), which transports guanine capped mRNAs, including those encoding key oncogenes, into the cytosol (7). Enhanced XPO1 activity results in removal of p53 from the nucleus and increased transcript levels of myc, cyclin D1, and MDM2 (7). XPO1 is overexpressed or correlates with poor prognosis in many tumor types including glioma, osteosarcoma, pancreatic, gastric, ovarian, and cervical cancers highlighting the importance of nuclear transport to maintaining the non-transformed state (8-14).

Selective inhibitors of nuclear export (SINE) compounds have been developed. Selinexor (KPT-330) is a highly specific, slowly reversible, covalent inhibitor of XPO1, and has shown promising results in phase I/II clinical trials of hematologic and solid malignancies (15-17). Selinexor treatment dramatically reduces tumor burden in a variety of both xenograft and syngeneic mouse models (18-23). Although selinexor is fairly well tolerated, side effects of treatment include fatigue, nausea and thrombocytopenia (17).
Selinexor traps all XPO1 target proteins in the nucleus, which includes several transcription factors or transcription factor regulators that play critical roles in immune system function: NFATc1, IκBα, p100 and p65 (subunits of NF-κB), cIAP1, STAT1 and STAT3 (6). Although transcription factors bind DNA in the nucleus, many transcription factors require dimerization, phosphorylation, ligand binding, or proteolytic degradation of an inhibitory protein for their activity. Many of these events occur in the cytosol, thus inhibition of XPO1 can constitutively trap transcription factors in either active or inactive forms. STAT1 activity is transiently enhanced by nuclear localization, but inhibition of nuclear export of STAT1 results in diminished ability of cells to upregulate transcription of IFNγ responsive genes (24). STAT3 is transported to the nucleus in its inactive conformation in non-cytokine stimulated cells, and addition of the XPO1 inhibitor leptomycin B leads to the accumulation of inactive STAT3 in the nucleus (25). NF-κB becomes trapped in the nucleus along with its inhibitor IκBα, leading to abrogation of activity upon treatment with selinexor or leptomycin B (5, 26-28). Other proteins of immunologic interest that rely on XPO1 for nuclear export include fyn, AID, AHR, and DGKζ, although it is unclear how loss of XPO1 function would affect the activity of these targets (21).

The immune system is important for both controlling infection and in generation of anti-tumor immunity. Cytotoxic T cells, in particular, correlate with increased overall survival in colorectal and other cancers (29). Immunotherapy has shown impressive clinical benefit, particularly for metastatic melanoma. The FDA has approved four immune-modulating drugs that target T cell inhibitory pathways, ipilimumab (anti-CTLA-4), nivolumab/pembrolizumab (anti-PD-1) and atezolizumab (anti-PD-L1) (30-33). Since immunotherapy targets the immune system, and not a particular type of cancer, these new drugs were originally hoped to be applicable across all tumor types as a pan-cancer medication. Indeed, significant clinical results have been seen for
ipilimumab or PD-1 blockade in non-small cell lung cancer, renal cell cancer, castration-resistant prostate cancer, Hodgkin’s lymphoma, and multiple cancer types deficient in mismatch repair (31, 32, 34, 35). The clinical success of monoclonal antibodies targeting negative regulators on T cells demonstrates that a significant fraction of cancer patients have anti-tumor T cells at baseline, and that manipulating these T cells can have therapeutic benefit.

Naïve tumor-specific T cells must be primed by dendritic cells presenting antigens released from dying tumor cells. This priming stage is necessary for expansion of tumor-specific clones and for acquisition of effector functions such as cytotoxicity and cytokine production. T cell priming is enhanced by dying tumor cells which release both antigens and endogenous danger signals such as HMGB1 or 2’3’cGAMP, which can activate dendritic cells to enhance antigen presentation, expression of costimulatory molecules, and trafficking to the tumor draining lymph node (36, 37). Therapies that kill tumor cells would be predicted to enhance T cell priming and synergize with immunotherapy, and indeed this has been the case for radiation (38, 39), chemotherapy (36, 40) and some targeted therapies (41, 42).

The general ability of most conventional therapies to enhance response to immunotherapy has led to a flurry of combination clinical trials. Immunotherapy has the potential for durable long-term remissions; however, the fraction of patients who respond is relatively low for most cancer types. Combination therapy is likely necessary to extend the benefit of immunotherapy to more patients. Although general consensus and support for combination therapy exists, most standard cancer therapies have not been evaluated for their effects on the immune system, or those effects have been equivocal. For example, radiation releases tumor antigens (39), but also stimulates radiation-resistant mesenchymal stem cells which can cause local immunosuppression and make irradiated bone marrow a preferred metastatic niche (43). Chemotherapies kill rapidly dividing cells, with certain agents preferentially eliminating Tregs.
(44), MDSCs (45, 46), or even proliferating CD8 T cells (47). A better understanding of the effects of various cancer treatments on cells of the immune system is important for making rationally designed combination strategies.

Here we examined the effects of selinexor on normal immune homeostasis in mice, and recapitulated a side effect profile similar to that seen in humans. We further showed that naïve and effector CD8 T cells were inhibited by selinexor, but only at high concentrations and for a short time window. We show that decreased frequency of selinexor dosing greatly mitigates these effects, and we successfully predicted a regimen of selinexor dosing that allows for simultaneous development of robust anti-tumor immunity. These findings illustrate a simple preclinical method for assessing novel chemotherapies in combination with immunotherapy using established murine models.
Materials and Methods

Animal care: All animals were housed at Dana-Farber Cancer Institute and were maintained according to protocols approved by the DFCI IACUC Committee on Animal Care. C57BL/6 and OT-I;RAG1-/- mice were purchased from Jackson Labs. TRP1high;CD45.1 mice were bred in house (48).

Reagents: Selinexor was dissolved in DMSO for use in in vitro assays. For in vivo studies, selinexor was diluted to 1.5 mg/mL in water with 0.6% w/v Pluronic® F-68 and 0.6% w/v PVP K-29/32.

Immunizations: C57BL/6 mice were immunized with 100μg ovalbumin (Sigma) dissolved in 100μL PBS per mouse and mixed 1:1 with complete Freund’s adjuvant (Sigma). Each mouse received 200μL immunogen mixture intraperitoneally. Mice were boosted on Day 14 post-immunization with 100μg ovalbumin mixed 1:1 with incomplete Freund’s adjuvant (Sigma). Mice were bled at Days 7, 14, 21 and 28 post-immunization. Blood was permitted to clot, then centrifuged at 1100 x g for 5 minutes to obtain serum. Serum samples were diluted at the indicated dilution factors and analyzed by ELISA using ovalbumin-coated plates and secondary anti-mouse Igκ coupled to HRP (Southern Biotech).

Flow cytometry: Cells were harvested from spleen, mesenteric lymph nodes, bone marrow or thymus. Tissues were crushed into PBS through a 40 micron cell strainer using the back of a 1 mL syringe plunger. Cell preparations were subjected to hypotonic lysis to remove erythrocytes, stained and analyzed using a Fortessa (BD). CD1d(PBS57) tetramer was obtained from the NIH Tetramer Core Facility. Flow cytometry antibodies used in this study were purchased from Biolegend (anti-B220-PacificBlue [clone RA3-6B2], anti-CD107a-Fitc [1D4B], anti-CD11b-
AlexaFluorophore 488 [M1/70], anti-CD11c-APC [N418], anti-CD11c-PE/Cy7 [N418], anti-CD25-AlexaFluorophore 488 [PC61], anti-CD44-Bv421 [IM7], anti-CD44-PE/Cy7 [IM7], anti-CD45-Bv711 [30-F11], anti-CD45.1-Bv711 [A20], anti-CD4-APC [RM4-5], anti-CD4-PacificBlue [RM4-5], anti-CD69-PE [H1.2F3], anti-CD8-Bv650 [53-6.7], anti-FoxP3-PE [MF-14], anti-Gr1-PE [RB6-8C5], anti-Gr1-PE/Cy7 [RB6-8C5], anti-IFNγ-Bv421 [XMG1.2], anti-LAG3-Pe [C9B7W], anti-MHC I-A/I-E-Bv510 [M5/114.15.2], anti-NK1.1-FITC [PK136], anti-NK1.1-PE/Cy7 [PK136], anti-PD-1-PE/Cy7 [29F.1A12], Tim3-APC [RMT3-23]) and Affymetrix (anti-CD19-PE [MB19-1], anti-GrzB-Pe/Cy7 [NGZB]).

**Cell culturing:** Cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated FBS, 2 mM l-glutamine, 100 U/ml penicillin G sodium, 100 μg/ml streptomycin sulfate, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 0.1 mM nonessential amino acids, and 0.1 mM 2-ME. CD8 T cells were isolated from pooled spleen and LNs of TRP1high;CD45.1, OT-I:RAG1-/- or wild type mice using positive selection on anti-CD8 magnetic beads (Dynabeads, Invitrogen). For generation of effector CD8T cells, isolated naïve CD8 T cells were plated into 12 well dishes at 1 million cells per mL with anti-CD3/CD28 beads (Invitrogen) and 100 U/mL recombinant human IL-2 (Peprotech). Beads were removed after 48 hours, and fresh IL-2 containing media was added every two to three days. T cells were cultured for 7 days prior to washing, counting, and plating into 24 well dishes containing B16 cells or B16 that had been transduced with ovalbumin (B16OVA) cells that had been pre-treated for 24 hours with recombinant mouse IFNγ (10ng/mL) (Peprotech) to induce upregulation of surface MHC class I. 200,000 T cells were added per well.

**Naïve T cell activation assays:** CD8 T cells were isolated using positive selection as described above. They were plated in triplicate at a final concentration of 100,000 cells/200 μL in 96 well plates containing 100 U/ml recombinant IL-2 and either B cells presenting an appropriate
peptide (TRP1 or SIINFEKL), anti-CD3/CD28 beads, or PMA/ ionomycin. The time points at which selinexor was added and the concentrations of peptide used varied and are described in the figures. After 48 hours of culture T cells were washed and stained for extracellular and intracellular markers of activation.

**Intracellular cytokine staining:** Cells were cultured in presence of GolgiStop (BD) for three to five hours then washed and stained with antibodies against extracellular markers, washed in PBS, and suspended in fixation buffer (Biolegend) for twenty minutes. Cells were washed twice with permeabilization wash buffer (Biolegend) and stained with antibodies against cytokines overnight. Cells were then washed in PBS and analyzed using a Fortessa.

**B16 tumor inoculations and selinexor in vivo dosing:** B16F10 (ATCC® CRL6475™) cells were obtained from the ATTC (Feb. 2015) and were used within 6 months. B16 cells were screened prior to use for murine pathogens, including mycobacteria (Charles River). No further authentication was performed by the authors. B16 cells were cultured to 90% confluency, trypsinized, washed in HBSS and resuspended in HBSS at 100,000 tumor cells per 250μL volume. Cells were injected subcutaneously in the left flank of 6-12 week old female C57BL/6 mice. Mice were dosed with vehicle or selinexor by oral gavage using an 18 gauge curved ball-bearing syringe tip. For adoptive transfers, unless otherwise stated, mice received 500,000 TRPhigh;CD45.1 CD8 T cells in 150μL PBS administered via tail vein injection on day 7 post tumor inoculation. Selinexor was dosed at 7.5 mg/kg, 10 mg/kg or 15 mg/kg as indicated in the figure legends. Dosing occurred three times per week (M,W,F), two times per week (M,W), or once per week on Mondays.

**Statistics:** Student’s t test was used to compare means. Error bars are SD throughout.
Results

Selinexor affects normal immune homeostasis, with the greatest effect on CD8 T cells

To determine the effects of selinexor on normal immune homeostasis, we treated mice orally with vehicle control or selinexor at 15 mg/kg three times per week (M,W,F). Mice were treated for one week, two weeks, or four weeks and thymus, bone marrow, and spleen populations were analyzed. A striking loss of thymus cellularity was evident (Figure 1A) soon after start of treatment. T cell development occurs in the thymus, with T cell precursors arriving as CD4-CD8- (double negative, DN) cells that undergo RAG-mediated recombination of their TCRβ and TCRα genes to form functional TCRs (49). Once a functional TCR is expressed, T cells upregulate CD4 and CD8 to become double positive (DP) cells. During this stage of thymic development, which can last up to three weeks, developing T cells must experience TCR signaling of intermediate strength, indicating that the newly formed TCR binds to peptide-MHC complexes expressed by the host, but is not overtly self-reactive (49). Selinexor interferes with this process, as shown by a pronounced loss of DP cells (Figure 1B). T cells that do manage to survive positive and negative selection to become single positive CD4 or CD8 T cells are not overtly killed by selinexor. Defects in thymic selection can often be most pronounced on minor populations such as NKT cells or CD4+ regulatory T cells; however, these populations were present at normal abundance after 14 and even 28 days of selinexor treatment (Figure 1C and data not shown). Within the DN population, all subsets were present as determined by CD25 and CD44 expression (Figure 1D), suggesting that T cell development proceeded normally up to the DP stage.

We next examined the bone marrow of vehicle control or 28 day selinexor treated mice. Hematopoietic stem cells, contained within the lineage negative fraction, were not obviously
inhibited by selinexor treatment as total cellularity of the bone marrow was maintained, and most populations of cells appeared to be present. We observed a striking absence of CD8 T cells in the bone marrow, as well as an apparent block in B cell development with more B cells stuck in the earlier pro and pre B cell stages of development and fewer immature B cells in selinexor treated mice (Figure 1E). In the spleen we observed a progressive loss of CD8 T cells over time, with a concomitant increase in monocytes (Figure 1F).

Selinexor treatment only modestly affects antibody production

One of the target proteins of XPO1 is activation induced deaminase (AID), an enzyme critical for B cell isotype switching and affinity maturation. Without AID function, high affinity IgGs cannot be produced. To investigate AID function in the context of selinexor treatment, we immunized mice with a model antigen ovalbumin and measured ovalbumin specific antibody production over time (Figure 2A). One week post-immunization, all three vehicle control treated mice had measureable anti-ovalbumin antibodies while the selinexor treated mice were indistinguishable from non-immunized controls (Figure 2B). By two weeks post-immunization, this difference was no longer apparent; all selinexor mice showed high titer anti-ovalbumin antibodies at the two week and later time points that were equivalent to vehicle control treated mice. When IgG1, IgG2b, IgG2c, and IgA isotypes were analyzed, we again saw negligible differences in antigen-specific IgG1 and IgA, although mild deficiencies in antigen-specific IgG2b and IgG2c were noted. Subtle differences in affinity or clonal diversity would not be detected by the ELISA assay used. Serum IFNγ levels were similar between the two groups, as were the numbers of CD4+ T follicular helper cells generated (Supplemental Figure 1). The slight delay in antibody production could indicate a transient block in BCR signaling; this observation combined with the block in B cell development in the bone marrow (Figure 1E) suggests that further study of the effects of
selinexor on both malignant and normal B cells may be warranted. However, the presence of antigen-specific class-switched antibody demonstrates that AID must be functional.

**Decreasing the frequency, but not the dose of selinexor, restores normal immune homeostasis**

Given that the lineage negative cells in the bone marrow, and DN populations in the thymus, were unaffected by even prolonged 28 days of selinexor treatment, we predicted that precursor populations would be intact and able to restore normal population levels in bone marrow, thymus and secondary lymphoid organs after drug withdrawal. Based on preclinical assessment in a variety of tumor models, 15 mg/kg dosed three times per week is a relatively high dose of selinexor, and anti-tumor function is still observed at doses as low as 6 mg/kg (data not shown). We therefore investigated immune populations in mice dosed for 4 weeks according to the schedule shown in Figure 3A. The 15x3 group received exactly the same dose regimen as mice shown in Figure 1, and again we found profound loss of thymic cellularity, loss of CD8 T cells and immature B cells from the bone marrow, and loss of CD8 T cells and compensatory increases in inflammatory monocytes in the secondary lymphoid organs (Figure 3B-E).

Our two experimental groups were mice that received one high dose of selinexor (15x1) versus mice that received a low dose of selinexor three times per week (7.5x3). As clearly evident, mice in the 15x1 group were similar to the vehicle controls while mice in the 7.5x3 group were indistinguishable from the 15x3 group (Figure 3B-E). These data demonstrate that decreasing the frequency of selinexor dosing has a much more favorable impact on immune homeostasis than decreasing the actual dose given.

**Selinexor blocks TCR signaling in naïve T cells**
Of all of the populations examined, CD8 T cells were most sensitive to selinexor treatment (Figures 1 and 3). Given the importance of CD8 T cells to anti-tumor immunity, we wanted to examine the effects of selinexor on CD8 T cell function in more detail. Naïve CD8 T cells were isolated from an OT-I transgenic mouse whose T cells recognize a peptide sequence from ovalbumin (SIINFEKL) presented on the MHC class I molecule H-2K\(^b\) (50). We cultured OT-I T cells with SIINFEKL pulsed antigen presenting cells and measured T cell proliferation by Celltracce violet dye dilution. While vehicle treated OT-I cells proliferated in a dose-dependent fashion based on the amount of SIINFEKL peptide present, selinexor treated OT-I cells were completely refractory to proliferation at all concentrations of antigen tested (Figure 4A). This selinexor-induced block in CD8 T cell activation could not be overcome by using anti-CD3/CD28 coated beads, nor through bypassing proximal TCR signaling using phorbol myristate acetate and a calcium ionophore (PMA/Ion, Figure 4B). IFN\(\gamma\) production was also blocked by selinexor (Supplemental Figure 2).

TCR signaling involves a cascade of signaling molecules culminating in translocation of activated NFAT, NF-\(\kappa\)B and AP-1 complexes into the nucleus. NFAT translocation occurs within minutes of TCR engagement; however translocation of NF-\(\kappa\)B and AP-1 complexes requires 2-4 hours (51, 52). Once the appropriate transcription factors had been shuttled into the nucleus, we predicted that T cells would be refractory to the inhibitory effects of selinexor. Indeed, both CD4 and CD8 T cells cultured with anti-CD3/CD28 beads in the presence of selinexor failed to become activated. However, this block in activation was lessened if selinexor was added to the cultures 4 hours after initial TCR engagement (Figure 4C). Titration of both dose and time of addition revealed that naïve T cells were refractory to stimulation when selinexor was present.
within the first 4 hours after TCR engagement at concentrations greater than 100nM (Figure 4D).

**Selinexor blocks TCR signaling in effector T cells**

Naive T cells require signaling through both the TCR associated CD3 complex, as well as through the costimulatory receptor CD28. Once primed, effector T cells become independent of CD28 signaling and will release effector cytokines and cytolytic molecules upon engagement with their cognate peptide-MHC on target cells. For cancer patients, intratumoral effector CD8 T cells are of particular interest, as this population is reinvigorated by anti-PD-1 checkpoint blockade therapy, and is the key driver of anti-tumor immunity. We therefore investigated whether effector CD8 T cells were inhibited by selinexor. To generate effector cells in vitro, OT-I T cells were stimulated on antiCD3/CD28 beads and cultured for 7 days. Effector cells were washed, counted and cultured with B16 melanoma cells transduced with the model antigen ovalbumin (B16-OVA) in the presence of selinexor. After 24 hours of culture with target tumor cells, OT-I cells were analyzed for their upregulation of activation markers (CD25 and CD69) as well as expression of IFNγ and the cytolytic molecule granzyme B. Similar to naïve T cells, effector CD8 T cell functions were blocked at concentrations of selinexor greater than 100nM (Figure 5A and Supplemental Figure 3).

We performed pharmacodynamics to determine the serum concentration of selinexor after oral dosing. Selinexor levels were greater than 100nM (44.3 ng/mL) for the first 24 hours post oral dosing with 10 mg/kg (Figure 5B). Similar kinetics have been observed in humans, with serum levels of selinexor dropping below 100nM after approximately 24 hours post oral dosing (35 mg/m²) (17).
Optimized selinexor dosing does not inhibit anti-tumor immunity

The inhibitory effects of selinexor on CD8 T cell function we observed in vitro were transient, and thus we predicted that dose regimens of selinexor given with sufficient time intervals between dosing would allow for both normal immune homeostasis (Figure 3) and the development of functional anti-tumor CD8 T cells. We inoculated mice subcutaneously with B16 melanoma, waited 6-7 days for the appearance of palpable tumors, and then began treating mice with 10mg/kg selinexor on Monday and Wednesday, with a 5 day drug holiday incorporated into each weekly cycle. Tumor growth was significantly reduced in selinexor-treated mice (Figure 6). After two weeks of treatment, tumors were harvested and tumor infiltrating leukocyte (TIL) populations were analyzed. The total number of TILs was unaffected by selinexor treatment (Figure 6A), and flow cytometric evaluation of various cell types of interest revealed no significant differences in CD4, CD8, NK, B cell, DC, or myeloid populations (Figure 6B). Importantly, intratumoral CD8 T cell numbers were unaffected.

We previously reported a mouse line cloned by somatic cell nuclear transfer from the nucleus of CD8 T cells specific for the melanoma antigen tyrosinase-related protein 1 (TRP1) (48). The resulting TRP1 transnuclear mice have CD8 T cells specific for the endogenous antigen TRP1, which is highly expressed by B16 melanoma. To examine accumulation of tumor antigen specific CD8 T cells, we stimulated TRP1high;CD45.1+ CD8 T cells in vitro and transferred these activated TRP1-specific T cells into mice bearing day 12 B16 tumors (48). We then used expression of the congenic marker CD45.1 to measure accumulation of antigen specific CD8 T cells, expression of various activation and exhaustion markers, as well as expression of IFNγ and granzyme B. We observed very little effect of selinexor on any of these parameters in antigen-specific TRP1 cells (Figure 6C) or endogenous CD8 T cells (Figure 6D). PD-1, LAG3 and CD44 were reduced on TRP1 cells from KPT-330 treated mice, with a similar trend in...
endogenous CD8 T cells, suggesting slightly decreased T cell activation. Importantly, no differences were observed in intracellular IFNγ or granzyme B, indicating that CD8 T cell effector functions are preserved.

The most commonly used checkpoint blockade drugs today block the PD-1:PD-L1 signaling pathway. Selinexor treatment did not affect PD-1 expression on intratumoral CD8 T cells, nor expression of PD-L1 on B16 tumor cells or tumor infiltrating myeloid populations (Figure 6D). Therefore, combination of PD-1:PD-L1 blockade with selinexor would be predicted to have added benefit over selinexor treatment alone, as indeed was observed by Farren et al (co-submitted with this manuscript).
Discussion

Selinexor alters the nuclear localization of some 200 proteins that rely on XPO1 for export from the nucleus. Several of these XPO1 cargos are absolutely required for immune cell development and function. We found that T cells, most notably CD8 T cells, were sensitive to selinexor treatment. In vivo, selinexor dosing caused dramatic reductions in thymocyte numbers, and a progressive loss of CD8 T cells from bone marrow, spleen and lymph nodes. Naïve CD4 and CD8 T cells were refractory to activation in the presence of selinexor. Effector CD8 T cells, despite no longer requiring costimulation, were also blocked in their capacity to degranulate or produce IFNγ when selinexor was present. Although we do not identify the exact proteins whose improper nuclear localization causes these effects on T cells, we suspect that several players contribute, including NF-κB, a heterodimeric transcription factor that is sequestered in the nucleus with its inhibitor IκBα upon blockade of XPO1 (28). Mice that overexpress IκBα show perturbations in thymic development, and a loss of CD8 T cells from the spleen and LNs (53), similar to the effects we observe in selinexor treated mice. Together these findings suggest that nuclear exportins are required for T cell development and function.

T cells require signaling through their TCRs at several distinct stages. During development in the thymus, CD4+CD8+ (DP) T cells encounter self peptides presented on MHC class I and MHC class II molecules. In a process of positive and negative selection, T cells that are overtly self-reactive are deleted, while T cells that fail to recognize self MHC molecules also undergo apoptosis. Intermediate levels of TCR signaling are required for CD4 and CD8 T cells to survive thymic selection and emigrate to the spleen and lymph nodes as so called naïve T cells. Naïve T cells require TCR signaling again upon initial encounter with antigen, usually on a dendritic cell. Activation of naïve T cells leads to proliferation, trafficking from the lymph nodes to tissues,
and acquisition of effector functions such as cytokine production and development of cytolytic granules. Upon reaching the inflamed tissue, effector T cells require TCR signaling via contact with peptide-MHC in order to correctly identify and kill their target cells.

Of the three steps at which selinexor might block CD8 T cell development and function, the effector stage is potentially the most concerning. Effector CD8 T cells are present in many human tumors, and PD-1 blockade therapies operate by reactivating this population (54, 55). If selinexor is to be used safely in humans, particularly in combination with anti-PD-1 monoclonal antibodies, we wanted to first determine whether the intratumoral effector CD8 T cells were still functional in selinexor treated mouse models. We first administered selinexor at 15 mg/kg dosed three times per week starting at the time of tumor inoculation. At this very frequent dosing schedule, we found nearly undetectable levels of CD8 T cells in the tumors, and were therefore unable to assess their function (data not shown). However, when we decreased the dosing frequency to 10 mg/kg given M, W with a 5 day drug holiday each week, this optimized dosing cycle allowed for robust CD8 T cell infiltrates. We carefully assessed activation markers, IFNγ production, development of cytolytic granules, and accumulation of antigen-specific CD8 T cells, and found that in all respects, the CD8 T cell immune response appeared normal. We also found normal levels of CD4 T cells, Tregs, NK cells, dendritic cells, macrophages and MDSCs. PDL1 surface expression was likewise unchanged. The recommended Phase 2 dosing regimen of selinexor is 60 mg (35.3 mg/m²) given twice weekly (days 1 and 3) (17). This dosing is supported by the optimized dosing regimen that we propose here for maintenance of anti-tumor immunity. In a report co-submitted with our manuscript, Farren et al. examined combination of selinexor with checkpoint blockade in mice, and found that selinexor dosing twice weekly enhances the efficacy of PD-1 blockade, while daily dosing has no effect. Together our studies support the initiation of clinical trials of selinexor and PD-1 blockade, using the optimized dosing schedule for selinexor of twice weekly (days 1 and 3).
Combination immune therapies are becoming increasingly common as more chemotherapies and targeted drugs are being paired with immunotherapy agents. Many chemotherapeutics kill rapidly dividing cells, which includes cells of the immune system (44). Effector CD8 T cells could easily be collateral damage in many combination regimens. Here we show that twice weekly (but not daily) dosing of selinexor dosing allows sufficient time for a fully functional anti-tumor CD8 T cell response. These results argue for a careful assessment of scheduling when designing combination regimens of small molecules with immunotherapy agents which in many cases can be done rapidly in preclinical mouse models.
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Figure Legends

**Figure 1:** Selinexor disrupts normal immune homeostasis. A) C57BL/6 mice were treated with vehicle or KPT-330 (15 mg/kg) by oral gavage three times per week (M,W,F) for 2 or 4 weeks. Total thymocyte cell numbers are shown. n=10, vehicle; n=5 KPT-330 groups. B) Thymocytes from vehicle or 14 day treated mice in panel A were stained with antibodies to CD4 and CD8 and analyzed by flow cytometry. Representative image is shown. C) Quantification of thymic populations from vehicle or 14 day treated mice. D) Thymocytes from vehicle or 14 day treated mice in panel A were stained with antibodies to CD4, CD8, CD25 and CD44, and analyzed by flow cytometry. Representative image is shown, gated on CD4-CD8- cells. E) C57BL/6 mice were treated with vehicle or KPT-330 (15 mg/kg) by oral gavage three times per week (M,W,F) for 4 weeks. Bone marrow cells were harvested, stained with antibodies and analyzed by flow cytometry. CD8 T cells = CD45+CD8+; CD4 T cells = CD45+CD4+; myeloid = CD45+CD11b+Gr1-; pre,proB = CD45+B220+IgD-; immature/mature B = CD45+B220+IgD+; NK cells = CD45+NK1.1+; neutrophils = CD45+CD11b+Gr1+; DN T cells = CD45+CD3+CD4-CD8-; lineage- =CD45+ negative for all other markers. Pie chart shows average frequencies from n=5 mice per group. F) C57BL/6 mice were treated with vehicle or KPT-330 (15 mg/kg) by oral gavage three times per week (M,W,F) for 1, 2 or 4 weeks. Spleen cells were harvested, stained with antibodies and analyzed by flow cytometry. B cells = CD45+B220+; CD4 T cells = CD45+CD4+Foxp3-; Tregs = CD45+CD4+Foxp3+; macrophages = CD45+CD11b+Gr1-; CD8 T cells = CD45+CD8+; NK cells = CD45+NK1.1+; monocytes = CD45+CD11b+Ly6C+Gr1-; neutrophils = CD45+CD11b+Gr1+. Pie charts show averages from n=5 mice per group.

**Figure 2:** Antibody production is only modestly affected by selinexor. A) Diagram of KPT-330 dosing and immunization schedule. B) C57BL/6 mice were immunized according to diagram shown in panel A. Serum was collected at the indicated time points, diluted at the indicated
ratios, and used in an ELISA with ovalubumin coated plates. Secondary anti-mouse Igκ coupled to HRP was used for detection. Each line represents one mouse. n=3 mice per group. C) Serum was analyzed as in panel B, using secondary anti-mouse IgG1, anti-mouse IgG2b or anti-mouse IgG2c coupled to HRP for detection.

**Figure 3**: Decreased frequency of selinexor treatment restores immune homeostasis better than decreased dose. A) C57BL/6 mice were dosed for 3 weeks according to the indicated schedules. n=5 mice per group. B) Total thymocyte cell numbers. C) Bone marrow cells were harvested and stained as in Figure 1E. CD8 T cells and immature B cells were quantified. D) Mesenteric lymph node cells were harvested and stained as in Figure 1F. Monocytes were quantified. E) Spleen cells were harvested and stained as in Figure 1F. Monocytes and CD8 T cells were quantified.

**Figure 4**: Selinexor blocks activation of naïve T cells. A) CD8 T cells from an OT-I mouse were labeled with Celltrace violet and cocultured with CD40 activated B cells pulsed with ovalbumin peptide (SIINFEKL) at the indicated concentrations in the presence or absence of 1μM KPT-330. T cell proliferation was measured by flow cytometry 3 days later. B) CD8 T cells from C57BL/6 mice were labeled with Celltrace violet and cocultured with anti-CD3/CD28 coated beads or PMA/ION in the presence or absence of 1μM KPT-330. Three days later cells were stained with anti-CD25 and anti-CD8 and analyzed by flow cytometry. C) Total lymph node cells from C57BL/6 mice were cultured with anti-CD3/CD28 beads and the indicated concentrations of KPT-330 added at the indicated time points relative to CD3/CD28 stimulation. 24 hours later, cells were stained with antibodies to CD4, CD8 and CD25 and analyzed by flow cytometry.
Figure 5: Selinexor blocks activation of effector CD8 T cells. A) CD8 T cells from an OT-I mouse were cultured with anti-CD3/CD28 beads for 7 days to generate effector cells. Effector cells were washed, counted and plated onto B16OVA tumor cells that had been pre-treated with IFN\(\gamma\) to induce MHC class I expression. KPT-330 was added to the cocultures at the indicated concentrations. 24 hours later, OT-I cells were collected, fixed and permeabilized, and stained with antibodies to CD25, CD69, IFN\(\gamma\), and granzyme B, and analyzed by flow cytometry. No response was seen from OT-I effector cells cultured with B16 cells not transduced with ovalbumin. Representative of 3 independent experiments. B) C57BL/6 mice were dosed with KPT-330 10mg/kg by oral gavage. Serum was collected at various time points and analyzed for KPT-330 concentration by mass spectrometry. Dashed line indicates 100nM concentration.

Figure 6: Optimized selinexor dosing does not inhibit anti-tumor immunity in vivo. A) C57BL/6 mice were inoculated subcutaneously with 100,000 B16 cells on day 0. On days 7, 9, 14 and 16, mice were dosed with vehicle or 10mg/kg KPT-330 by oral gavage. Tumors were harvested on day 21, digested and infiltrates analyzed by flow cytometry. Total CD45+ cells were quantified. n=5 mice per group. Representative of 2 experiments. B) Tumor infiltrates from panel A were stained with antibodies to CD45, CD8, CD4, NK1.1, B220, MHC class II, Gr1, Foxp3, CD11b, and CD11c and analyzed by flow cytometry. n=5 mice per group. Representative of 2 experiments. C) C57BL/6 mice were inoculated with B16 tumors and dosed with 10mg/kg KPT-330 on days 6, 8, 13 and 15. On day 12 post tumor inoculation, all mice received adoptive transfer of 500,000 activated TRP1high;CD45.1+ CD8 T cells. On day 17, tumor infiltrates were harvested, stained with the indicated antibodies and analyzed by flow cytometry after gating on CD45+CD8+CD45.1+ TRP1-specific cells. n=5 mice per group. D) Tumor infiltrates from C were gated on endogenous CD8 T cells (CD45+CD8+CD45.1-). E) Tumor infiltrates from panel C were stained with antibodies to PD-L1 and other cell surface markers. Mean fluorescence
intensity (MFI) of PD-L1 expression was quantified. Tumor = CD45-; Mac = CD45+CD11b+Gr1-CD11c-; MDSCs = CD45+CD11b+Gr1+; DCs = CD45+MHCII+CD11c+. n=5 mice per group. F) Tumor growth of mice analyzed in C-D.
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### A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>group name</th>
<th>treatment</th>
<th>schedule</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>vehicle</td>
<td>vehicle</td>
<td>M W F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15x3</td>
<td>KPT-330 15 mg/kg</td>
<td>M W F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15x1</td>
<td>KPT-330 15 mg/kg</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.5x3</td>
<td>KPT-330 7.5 mg/kg</td>
<td>M W F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### B

**Thymus**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>group</th>
<th>total cell number (x10)$^6$</th>
<th>% of CD45+ cells</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>vehicle</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15x3</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15x1</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>p=n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.5x3</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>p=0.005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### C

**Bone marrow**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>group</th>
<th>% of CD45+ cells</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>vehicle</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>p=n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15x3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>p=0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15x1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>p=0.0025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.5x3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>p=0.008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### D

**Lymph node**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>group</th>
<th>% of CD45+ cells</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>vehicle</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15x3</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>p=n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15x1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>p=0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.5x3</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>p=n.s.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### E

**Spleen**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>group</th>
<th>% of CD45+ cells</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>vehicle</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15x3</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>p=n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15x1</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>p=0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.5x3</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>p=n.s.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Figure 4**

(A) Graph showing the percentage of activated CD8 T cells in response to varying concentrations of SIINFEKL peptide. The x-axis represents the concentration of SIINFEKL peptide (µg/mL), while the y-axis represents the percentage of activated CD8 T cells. The graph includes data points for control, KPT330, and control CD3/CD28 stimulation.

(B) Flow cytometry plots comparing cell trace violet and CD25 levels in different conditions: vehicle, KPT-330, antiCD3/CD28, and PMA/Ion.

(C) Graphs showing the percentage of CD25+ CD8 T cells and CD4 T cells in response to KPT-330 at different concentrations (500 nM, 250 nM, 125 nM, 62.5 nM) at various time points (vehicle no stim, 0 min, 30 min, 2 hr, 4 hr).
Figure 5

(A) Graphs showing the percentage of CD25+, CD69+, IFN-γ+, and granzyme B+ cells under different concentrations of vehicle and 500 nM, 400 nM, 200 nM, and 100 nM.

(B) Graph showing the Selinexor plasma concentration over time after PO 10 mg/kg treatment.
**Figure 6**
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